



conduct a de novo review when the objections are frivolous, conclusive, or general in nature. Battle v. United States Parole Commission, 834 F.2d 419, 421 (5th Cir. 1987).

The Court has reviewed plaintiffs' objections claiming the Magistrate Judge erred in finding the plaintiffs did not exercise billing judgment and thereby reduced the hours claimed by plaintiffs by 10% and in not enhancing the lodestar amount of this exceptional case and has conducted a de novo review of the Magistrate Judge's Memorandum and Recommendation with respect to those matters properly raised by the objections. See Memorandum and Recommendation at pages 11. The Court finds the objections to the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation are without merit. This Court hereby accepts, approves, and adopts the Magistrate Judge's factual findings and legal conclusions contained in the Memorandum and Recommendation.

Therefore, the Memorandum and Recommendation shall be accepted pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) such that plaintiffs be awarded attorney's fees in the amount of \$83,965.05 and costs in the amount of \$16,871.41.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, filed in this case on January 29, 2008 (docket #111), is ACCEPTED such that plaintiffs are HEREBY AWARDED attorney's fees in the amount of EIGHTY THREE-THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED SIXTY-FIVE AND 05/100 (\$83,965.05) DOLLARS plus costs in the amount of SIXTEEN THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED SEVENTY-ONE AND 41/100 DOLLARS (\$16,871.41).

It is so ORDERED.

SIGNED this 4<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2008.

  
FRED BIERY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE